NEW CANAAN AIRS CHANGE IN ZONING: TOWN SPLIT OVER PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE BY RICHARD H. PARKE Special to The New York Times.

New York Times (1923-Current file); Nov 11, 1956; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The New York Times

NEW CANAAN AIRS CHANGE IN ZONING

Town Split Over Proposal to Upgrade Plot Sizes and Restrict Multiple Units

By RICHARD H. PARKE Special to The New York Times.

NEW CANAAN, Conn., Nov.

10—A lively controversy erupted here anew over a plan to upgrade nearly half of the town's 14,000 acres.

The zoning commission proposed to increase the minimum plot size from two to four acres 4.500-acre area a northern part of the town. They also proposed an increase from one acre to two in a 2,400-acre

Sixty-four acres near the center of town also would be raised from small lots to a minimum of one acre each. In addition, the commission urges a halt to construction of apartment buildings pending the preparation of new

section in the southern part.

specifications covering dwelling projects. commission's program,

which will be presented formally at a public hearing Nov. 19, is designed to limit the ultimate size of the town's population and to preserve as far as practicable the semi-rural character of the community.

similar but eless drastic proposal made last June aroused heated public debate and was withdrawn for further study. The current proposal also has

engendered sharp differences. Town opinion is divided between the long-time residents who are opposed to upgrading vide for executive office build-on the theory that it would ings or research laboratories, stifle growth and the newer Also being considered is addiresidents, many of them com- tional recreation space.

muters, who feel that the present restrictions will result in increased tax rates, overcrowding in the schools and a general invasion of "privacy."

Among the more vocal opponents of the plan are real estate operators, who are anxious to purchase large tracts of still undeveloped land for small home subdivisions.

Plan's Adoption Expected

It is generally believed that the five-member commission will have its way, however. Under Connecticut law, the body is empowered to order the revisions even thhough opposition is expressed. The only stipulation is that the revision must be by a three-quarter vote if 20 per cent of the property owners protest. It is understood that the commission already has received support from a majority of the

chairman, said in announcing the proposal that it represented the "culmination of an extended study by the Zoning Commission to safeguard further the health, safety and welfare of the community and to preserve the semirural and open feeling of the outlying sections." The proposed zoning changes are tied in closely with a townwide development plan, details of

John H. Waldron, commission

landowners involved.

which still are to be announced. Paul Davidoff, tonw planner, already has discussed the possibility of providing for multiple dwellings of the garden apartment type and also the construction of subsidized housing for middle-income groups.

Mr. Davidoff also has disclosed that the planners were considering whether it would be more practical from a "esthetic and economic" viewpoint to sell large tracts to developers or to pro-