

Governor Rejects Deal in Connecticut For Park Acreage

New York Times (1923-Current file); Oct 23, 1977; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The New York Times
pg. 25

Governor Rejects Deal in Connecticut For Park Acreage

HARTFORD, Oct. 22 (AP)—Gov. Ella T. Grasso has rejected for the second time a plan to create a new state park on Lake Candlewood by acquiring a controversial 253-acre tract in New Fairfield and Sherman.

The tract, owned by Stephen Weil, is land that was offered two years ago in

a deal that collapsed amid charges of impropriety. The land was offered to the state again yesterday but the Governor rejected the deal.

Jay Jackson, the Governor's legal adviser, told Paul Davidoff, who had offered the land for sale to the state yesterday, that "the state is not interested in acquiring the property," according to Larye deBear, an aide to the Governor.

The land has been sold to a New York organization that is headed by Mr. Davidoff and that hoped to have the state buy it. The land, which straddles the New Fairfield-Sherman town line on the shores of the picturesque lake in the far western part of Connecticut, was sold by Mr. Weil for \$6.6 million to Mr. Davidoff's orga-

nization, the Suburban Action Institute of New York. But Mr. Davidoff said the sale was contingent on public acquisition of the land.

Two years ago, Mr. Weil planned to give part of the land to the state, which would have qualified him for a large deduction on his Federal income taxes, and to sell the remainder over an extended time. The state would have used money from the Federal Bureau of Outdoor Recreation to purchase the land from Mr. Weil.

But the deal fell through because local officials and residents opposed it and because of a questionable appraisal of the property that had placed its value at nearly 20 times the price that Mr. Weil

had bought it for several years previously.

After the Governor had halted the first deal, she ordered an investigation to determine whether anyone had broken any laws. The Chief State's Attorney, Joseph Gormley Jr., concluded that there was no evidence of criminality, but he added that elements of the deal had appeared questionable.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.